

REMARKS

The Office examined claims 1-12 and objected to same. With this paper, the claims are amended in a way believed to overcome the objections, none are canceled, and no new claims are added, so that claims 1-12 remain in the application.

At paragraph 2 of the Office action, claims 1-3, 6-9, and 11-12 are objected to for various informalities. With this paper the claims are changed in a way believed to overcome the grounds for the objections.

With respect to claims 1 and 6, applicant has replaced "a code component" (which has no antecedent) with --a code component at the carrier frequency--.

With respect to claims 1, 6, and 11, applicant has replaced "wherein the set (104) is formed using different possible offsets from a nominal carrier frequency used to approximately carrier-demodulate the received signal fragment" with --wherein the successive approximately carrier-demodulated received signal fragments are formed using different possible offsets from a nominal carrier frequency--. Applicant respectfully submits that a further reciting "to approximately carrier-demodulate the received signal fragments" would be redundant.

It is believed that all of the claims of the application are now in condition for allowance and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

29 April 2005

Date

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Retter
Registration No. 41,266

tel: (203) 261-1234
Cust. No.: 004955